CIRCUMSTANCES FOR PLUTO.CHARON MUTUAL EYENTS IN 1987

DAVID J. THOLEN, MARC W. BUIE, AND CATHERINE E. SWIFT^{a)}

Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Drive, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

. Receiued 25 August 1986

ABSTRACT

Circumstances are tabulated for 88 Pluto-Charon mutual events occurring during the 1987 opposition. Charon is predicted to be completely obscured either by Pluto or Pluto's shadow during each passage behind Pluto this opposition, providing several opportunities to study Pluto uncontaminated by the light of Charon. The duration of these total events is predicted to be from 32 to 79 min. The mutualevent season is now expected to conclude during the 1990 opposition. Two new stars have been selected ascomparison stars for events occurring prior to opposition in 1987; the primary star is located at R.A. $14^{h}47^{m}24*23$ and Dec. +01°00'31".9, and the check star is located at R.A. $14^{h}48^{m}20*69$ and Dec. + 01°09'28"2 (mean equator and equinox of 1950.0). Standardization of the primary comparison stars used in 1985 and 1986 has yielded the following magnitudes: $B=12.6044\pm0.0015$ and $V = 11.7956 \pm 0.0017$ (1985 Primary); $B = 13.1238 \pm 0.0008$ and $V = 12.3885 \pm 0.0014$ (1986 Primary).

I. INTRODUCTION

Twice during each 248 year orbit of the Sun, the orbital plane of Pluto's satellite Charon sweeps across the orbit of the Earth and presents an edge-on geometry to the Earth. Within a narrow range of angles on either side of an edge-on geometry, as determined by the sizes and separation of the two bodies, transits of Pluto by Charon and its shadow, plus occultations and eclipses of Charon by Pluto and its shadow, will occur. The current season of mutual events began in late 1984, and the first events were detected in early 1985 (Binzel et al. 1985). The best available orbital and physical parameters now indicate that the season will end while Pluto is in conjunction with the Sun in 1990 (Tholen et al. 1987). This paper details the events observable during the 1987 opposition.

With the launch of the Hubble Space Telescope delayed and with no spacecraft scheduled to fly past Pluto, these mutual events represent our best opportunity to learn a great deal about our solar system's outermost known planetary system. Although much has been gleaned from the first two years of events, more remains to be done. In particular, the southern hemispheres of both Pluto and Charon have not yet been occulted, so their albedos are not well known. The observations of event depths during the 1987 opposition will provide important information on the surface-albedo distributions of one hemisphere on each object. The measurements of event times and durations should further refine the determination of diameters and orbital parameters, some of which are not yet well determined, as well as the mean density of the system.

II. MUTUAL EVENTS IN 1987

Table I shows the circumstances for mutual events occurring during the 1987 opposition. The columns contain the following information:

1---UT date corresponding to the time of maximum depth

2—Universal Time of first contact (beginning of event)

3-Universal Time of second contact (beginning of totality)

4-Universal Time of third contact (end of totality)

5-Universal Time of fourth contact (end of event)

6-Universal Time of maximum depth or mid-totality

7-predicted depth of event in Johnson B

8-right ascension at time of maximum depth

9--declination at time of maximum depth

l0-heliocentric distance in astronomical units

11-geocentric distance in astronomical units

l2-phase angle in degrees

13-Johnson B magnitude (out of eclipse)

l4-window duration for 30'north latitude

lS-window duration for 30'south latitude

l6-range of east longitudes from which event can be observed

l7-type of event (superior or inferior)

Numbers in columns 2 and,3 printed in boldface refer to the time on the previous UT date; likewise, numbers in columns 4 and 5 printed in boldface refer to the time on the following UT date. The word totality, as used for columns 3 and 4, refers to the time when the surface area that is physically blocked from view or in shadow is constant. For a superior event, totality occurs when Charon is entirely blocked from view either by Pluto or Pluto's shadow. For an inferior event, both Charon and Charon's shadow must be encompassed by the disk of Pluto for totality to occur. If the geometry does not produce totality, the corresponding column entries are marked with a dash.

Column 13 lists the Johnson B magnitude of the uneclipsed system at the time of maximum depth. The Johnson V magnitude is 0.84 mag brighter.

As in our previous paper (Tholen 1985), the window durations in columns 14 and 15 represent the length of time Pluto is at an airmass of less than 2.5 while the Sun is below -18° altitude. The statement in Tholen (1985) that the window duration was the same for observatories at both 30" north and 30" south latitude neglected the fact that, unlike Pluto, the Sun is not always near the celestial equator throughout the opposition. The window length was, in fact, correct only for 30° north latitude. The inclusion of a separate column for 30" south latitude eliminates this deficiency in the format of Tholen (1985). Of course, the window length differs for other latitudes, and if more accurate information is required, site-specific ephemerides can be generated on request.

a) Summer research assistant at the Institute for Astronomy; present address at California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125.

Unlike last year, in which the longitudes of visibility were in a I 80' W to 180' E format, the longitudes in column 16 are in a 0° to 360° format, measured to the east. The range of longitudes depends on the latitude of the observer as well, and the table includes those longitudes from whicfi a portion ofan event is visible either from 30'north or 30'south latitude, but not necessarily both.

The orbital and physical parameters used to generate these circumstances are shown in Table II and are based on the analysis of data accumulated in 1985 and 1986 (Tholen et al. 1987). The uncertainties in the times of the events are now quite small, but the times of the various contacts involve parameters that are less certain than the period; in particular, the times of second and third contact could be in error by more than 20 min. The intrinsic uncertainties in the times listed in the table are on the order of l-Z min, because the computations were performed with I min time resolution. Note that the event durations are all very close to 4.5 hr because Charon (or its shadow) is passing in front of or behind the central portion of Pluto (or its shadow).

The predicted depths of the events assume that the mean albedo of the occulted material in 1987 is the same as the mean albedo of the material occulted through 1986. Some of the previously unocculted material will be blocked from view in 1987, however, so the extrapolation of the current model to 1987 may yield predicted depths that will differ from those actually observed. In fact, we fully expect inferior events to be shallower than predicted, because the best available model for the surface-albedo distribution on Pluto shows the equatorial region to be darker than the poles (Buie and Tholen 1986).

As in 1986, the 1987 inferior events will be deepest when the Earth is near preopposition quadrature. Totality should occur this year, primarily during the superior events. The Charon:Pluto size ratio is particularly uncertain in the current model, however; the duration of totality will be shorter if the true size ratio is larger than currently believed, possibly resulting in some events being nontotal. As the Earth approaches opposition, the duration of totality will grow shorter because parallax will cause the center-to-center separation of Pluto and Charon to increase, thus causing the effective chord length to decrease. After opposition, the duration of totality will begin to increase slowly as Pluto's heliocentric motion causes the distance between the center of Charon and the center of Pluto's shadow to decrease. Inferior events will generally not be total, because both'Charon and its shadow will not be encompassed by Pluto's projected disk. The current model predicts one exception, the event on

TABLE II. Orbital and physical parameters used to generate the circumstances.

Semimajor axis	19 130 km				
Eccentricity Inclination Ascending node Argument of perihelion Mean anomaly Epoch	0.0 91.0 222°44 0.0 259.59 JD 2446600.5	mean equator and equinox of 1950			
Period	6.387204 days				
Pluto radius Charon radius	1145 km 642 km				
Pluto blue geometric albedo of the occulted material Charon blue geometric albedo of the occulted material	0.61 0.42				

May 3 near opposition, which is when the shadow offset is at a minimum. Model uncertainties could allow a couple of additional total inferior events on either side of opposition, or none at all. Predicted light curves and the corresponding geometries are shown in Fig. I for three representative times in 1987: preopposition quadrature, opposition, and postopposition quadrature.

III. COMPARISON STARS

Once again, we have selected stars to be used as comparison stars for events occurring before opposition in 1987. Eighteen stars were observed on 1986 January 13 and 14 with the 2.24 m University of Hawaii telescope on Mauna Kea. The two having the best combination of brightness, color match, and proximity to Pluto were selected as comparison stars, with the brighter of the two being designated as the primary comparison of star. The positions of the two stars were measured on print copies of the Palomar Sky Survey; the accuracy of the positions is limited by the size of the unknown proper motions, not by the astrometry, which yielded residuals on the order of an arcsecond.

> Time Ω

> > ⊿ı Mac

SUPERIOR INFERIOR $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ Van 30 12:30 UT

 ϕ

tl Feb 02 17:24 UT

1987Jul 28 O9:O3 UT 1997 Jul 3l 13:41 UT

Time

FIc. L The geometry of the Pluto-Charon system is shown in the leftmost and rightmost columns for three representative times in 1987: preopposition quadrature, opposition, and postopposition quadrature. Equatorial north is up in all views. The middle column contains the corresponding predicted light curves. The vertical axis corresponds to the time of minimum separation between Pluto and Charon; the horizontal axis is in hours from minimum separation. In all three cases, the flat-bottomed light curve corresponds to the superior event depicted on the left, while the rounded light curve corrcsponds to the inferior event depicted on the right.

TABLE III. Comparison stars for use in 1987.

Star	(1950.0) R. A.	Dec.		$B-V$
1986 Primary	14^h 38 ^m 53:30	$+02^{\circ}01'09''$	13.12	0.74
1986 Check	14 ^h 39 ^m 44.19	$+02^{\circ}04'07''3$	13.52	0.74
1987 Primary	14 ^h 47 ^m 24:23	$+01^{\circ}00'31''9$	12.30	0.88
1987 Check	$14^{\rm h}$ 48 ^m 20:69	$+01°09'28"2$	12.87	0.88

TABLE IV. Standard magnitudes for primary comparison stars used in 1986.

The 1987 comparison stars are listed along with the 19g6 stars in Table III. Pluto will be closer to the 19g6 stars after opposition, so, beginning with the May 3 event, the 19g6 stars should be used instead of the 1987 stars.

In addition to selecting comparison stars, we have been carefully standardizing comparison stars used in previous years. For accurate comparison of mutual-event data from different years, the magnitudes of the comparison stars need to be known to higher precision than the data on Pluto itself. Our goal has been to determine the magnitudes of the com_ parison stars to approximately 0.0010 mag relative to some adopted standard star.

During the 1986 opposition, we obtained ten nights of B and V photometry on comparison star 1985 Primary, plus 22 nights of B and 20 nights of V photometry on 1986 Primary. The weighted means and standard deviations of those means are shown in Table IV. The standard deviations are shown directly above the magnitudes in units of the last digit. The tabulated $B - V$ colors are not exactly equal to the differences between the B and V magnitudes because the mean colors were determined by calculating the weighted mean of the individual colors on each night; the discrepancies are smaller than the standard deviations themselves, however, and are quite insignificant.

For purposes of computing the standard magnitudes of these stars, we have chosen a photometric system in which the Johnson magnitudes and color of SAO 120107 are defined to be $B = 9.8966$, $V = 9.2400$, and $B - V = 0.6566$. This definition was necessary because the Johnson system is not internally accurate to the millimag level; the assumed magnitudes and color for SAO 120107 are consistent with the established Johnson system, however, so we are not forcing a zero-point shift by defining SAO 120107 to have those values.

The peak-to-peak differences in the B magnitudes for 1985 Primary and 1986 Primary are 0.0118 and 0.0152 mag, respectively, with no systematic trend as a function of time, so we have no evidence of variability in either star. We are, however, continuing to monitor the brightnesses of all comparison stars to maintain an internally consistent system of the highest possible precision and to look for possible long-

term variability. We plan to publish final results for these stars in a future paper. The results from 19g6 are included here so that those who observed events in 1986 can reduce their observations to the same photometric system.

IV. COMMENTS

Some basic guidelines for observing these events were given previously (Tholen 1985). In addition to those guidelines, the following items are worth mentioning. Further refinement of the diameters of the bodies will come from the observations of the times of the contacts. Thus even a short observation (about an hour) can yield important information if one of the contacts occurs during the observation. Albedo variegation on Charon can be studied by the observations of the wings of the events between first and second or third and fourth contact. Any departures from a smooth ingress light curve should recur during egress, thus providing almost instant confirmation of any significant albedo features. Albedo variegation on Pluto is harder to study because of the steep slopes of the ingress and egress portions of the light curve; nevertheless, the maximum depth does yield the mean albedo of the occulted material, and as the occulted area changes from one event to the next, differences can be mapped out.

Between the second and third contacts of a superior event, Pluto can be studied uncontaminated by the light of Charon. Not only will the disk integrated albedos of each object's sunward hemisphere be determined, but a variety of spectroscopic and colorimetric observations can be obtained of Pluto only. Similar out-of-eclipse observations obtained immediately preceding or following a flat-bottomed superior event can, after removal of Pluto's contribution, yield the corresponding data for Charon.

More detailed predictions for any one event can be obtained by writing to the first author and specifying the nights and observatory coordinates for which more information is desired.

This work was supported by NASA grant No. NGL 12- 001-057.

REFERENCES

Binzel, R. P., Tholen, D. J., Tedesco, E. F., Buratti, B. J., and Nelson, R. M. (1985). Science 228,1193. Buie, M. W., and Tholen, D. J. (1986). Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 18. 821.

Tholen, D. J. (1985). Astron. J. 90, 2639.

Tholen, D. J., Buie, M. W., Binzel, R. P., and Frueh, M. L. (1987). In preparation.